

Feeding strategies to decrease methane emissions and carbon footprint of dairy cows in Belgium, Luxembourg and Denmark

Later Sala

COORDINATOR

LIÈGE université INVOLVED PARTNERS







AARHUS UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF AGROECOLOGY



Background

The increase in greenhouse gases (GHG) concentration in the atmosphere is one of the factors responsible of the global warming.

The impact of the agricultural sector on the total anthropic greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions is estimated at **14%** (Tubiello et al., 2015).

Different GHG are emitted by the sector: methane, nitrous oxide (N_2O) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) .

Methane represents on average **40%** of agriculture GHG emissions It is produced mainly during ruminal fermentation.

Inventory of the different GHG emitted from agriculture



A proportion of GHG emissions can be mitigated by the carbon sinking potential of permanent grasslands



Well conducted grazing contributes

to grasslands preservation

Aims of the project

- Decrease enteric methane emissions of dairy cows by proposing adapted feeding strategies in the 3 participating countries.
- Contribute to the conservation of grasslands by highlighting the carbon sinking potential of permanent grasslands and improving grazing management.
- Ensure the dissemination and the transferability of the results of the project.

Actions carried out in the project to complete these objectives

- Overview of grazing practices in the three countries through surveys addressed to dairy farmers.
- Feeding trials during winter and summer.
- Implementation of best feeding strategies in pilot farms.
- Dissemination of the outcomes of the project.

To complete these actions, **5 partners and 3 countries**



Overview of grazing practices in the three countries through surveys

The size of dairy farms was very different among the participating countries: Danish farms were larger in terms of area and number of cows.



Percentage of grazing farms following the countries.

The larger the dairy farm, the lower percentage of grazing cows.



Results of the survey organised in 2016

Feeding trials during winter

- The component to be tested was added to the usual diet of dairy cows.
- 4 components were tested during the 2 first years of the project.
- They differed in composition:
 - rich in fat or in starch
 - extruded linseed or rapeseed.
- Methane emissions and environmental impacts

were assessed and compared with a control.



The component is given in an automatic concentrate supplier. When the cow comes to eat, she is recognised by her eartag. While she eats, methane emissions are measured in her breath every 3 seconds.

Implementation of best feeding strategies in pilot farms

Best feeding strategies were implemented in **8 pilot farms** in the participating countries.

Methane emissions, environmental impact and zootechnic parameters (milk yield and composition) were monitored during the implementation phase.



Meeting in a pilot farm to explain the feeding strategies to implement.



The tested component is given as a supplement of the usual ration.



Methane is also estimated in milk samples using an equation developed at the University of Liège and CRAw.



Installation on farm to measure methane in breath samples.

Results

The target was a decrease by 10% of methane emissions. This objective was reached with extruded linseed (ELS).





47% of farmers reported stopping grazing due to difficult management.

Make grazing management easier

In the project LIFE-Dairyclim, we used precision grazing tools to make it easier.

We used connected rising platemeters.

These devices allow to estimate the grass available on pasture and to calculate grass stocks.

Each grass height measurement is identified and a decision-supporting graph is edited.



Connected rising platemeter.



Identification of each measurement.



Evaluation of the environmental impact Life cycle assessment from cradle to farm gate Inventory of farm inputs **CO**₂ Diesel Electricity Energy Fertiliser > N,0 N₂O (direct) **OFF FARM** - NH₃ Grain CO_ N₂O Feed Industry (indirect) NO₂ Other Feed CO,4 **ON FARM** CH₄ (enteric fermentation) COWS CH₄ (manure) FEED EXCRETA INTAKE N₂O_(direct) – GRAZING 🗲 NH. N₂O FEED PROD (indirect) → NO₂ → CO₂ MANURE MANAGEMENT MILKING MILK CALVES MEAT Inventory of farm outputs

Environmental impact of each GHG sources (gCO₂ eq)



The relative contribution of GHG emissions was estimated: Use of different components did not demonstrate any negative impact on environment.

Based on Flysjö et al. (2011)

Dissemination of the outcomes of the project

WORKSHOPS



CONFERENCES

AGRICULTURAL FAIRS





i More information?

http://labos.ulg.ac.be/dairyclim/ Isabelle.dufrasne@uliege.be https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rq2yFmKSGEY

© ULiège 12/2019

This project was funded by



